

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/051,272 01/18/2002 I. S. Au 2002231 7611 EXAMINER 34018 7590 03/25/2004 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP SAADAT, CAMERON 77 WEST WACKER DRIVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 2500** CHICAGO, IL 60601-1732 3713 9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/051,272	AU, I. S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cameron Saadat	3713
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06.	lanuary 2004	•
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received.	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(/PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

· Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the request for reconsideration filed 1/06/04, claims 1-14 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herman et al. (USPN 5,364,272; hereinafter Herman).

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Herman discloses an apparatus for determining the identification of selected objects for use in connection with an electronic children's toy, the apparatus comprising: a plurality of objects 14a-c which include a plurality of object contact elements 50a-h, wherein two or more of the contact elements are connected to form a contact pattern which serves to uniquely identify the object (Col. 4, lines 7-17); and an identification member 12a-c capable of being placed in physical contact with the object contact elements 50a-h (Col. 6, lines 42-56); the identification member including a plurality of member contact elements 46a-h configured such that at least two of the member contact elements come into electrical contact with at least two of the object contact elements when the identification member is placed in contact with one of the objects (Col. 4, lines 18-25); and a processing unit 20 in electrical contact with the identification member capable of detecting the object contact pattern and determining the identification of the particular object (Col. 5, lines 46-51).

⁴ Art Unit: 3713

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Herman discloses an apparatus further comprising a means of providing audio information corresponding to the identification of the object in contact with the identification number (Col. 6, lines 20-23).

Regarding claim 3, Herman discloses an apparatus comprising a means of providing audio information includes computer-generated human speech (Col. 6, lines 42-56).

Regarding claim 4, Herman discloses an apparatus wherein audio information is provided upon movement of a portion of the apparatus (Col. 6, lines 42-56).

Regarding claim 5, Herman discloses an apparatus wherein the identification member includes at least three contact elements 46a-h arranged linearly (See Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 6, Herman discloses an apparatus wherein the objects 14a-c include at least two contact elements 50a-h arranged such that each of the object contact elements comes into physical contact with a corresponding one of the identification member contact elements (Col. 3, line 63 – Col. 4, line 58).

Regarding claim 7, Herman discloses an apparatus wherein the identification member includes at least four contact elements 46a-h arranged in at least two linear rows. (See Fig. 2

Regarding claim 8, Herman discloses an apparatus wherein the objects 14a-c include at least two contact elements 50a-h arranged such that each of the object contact elements comes into physical contact with a corresponding one of the identification member contact elements 46a-h (Col. 3, line 63 – Col. 4, line 58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

• Art Unit: 3713

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herman et al. (USPN 5,364,272; hereinafter Herman) in view of Lewis (USPN 2,909,868).

Regarding claim 9, Herman discloses an electronic children's educational toy comprising: a plurality of objects 14a-c, each of said objects including a plurality of contact elements 50a-h wherein the contact elements are connected to one another to forma a contact pattern which serves to uniquely identify each object (Col. 4, lines 7-17), and an identification member 12a-c capable of being placed in physical contact with the object contact elements 50a-h (Col. 6, lines 42-56); the identification member including a plurality of member contact elements 46a-h configured such that at least two of the member contact elements come into electrical contact with at least two of the object contact elements when the identification member is placed in contact with one of the objects (Col. 4, lines 18-25); and a processing unit 20 in electrical contact with the identification member capable of detecting the object contact pattern and determining the identification of the particular object (Col. 5, lines 46-51). Herman further suggests that the object and identification member may take the form of an interactive toy, such as a doll placed in physical contact with a chair (Col. 6, lines 42-56). Herman discloses all of the claimed subject matter with the exception of providing the identification member shaped in the form a fishing pole and a hook member. However, Lewis teaches an electronic children's educational toy, comprising a fishing pole device 30 and a hook member 32, wherein the hook engages with an aperture of object 6 to form an electrical contact between a contact of the hook 32 and contacts 25-26 of the object, thereby completing a

4 Art Unit: 3713

circuit to provide visual feedback (Col. 2, lines 25-50) Hence, in view of Lewis, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the shape of the identification member and the toy object described in Herman, by providing an object identification member in the form of a hook in order to provide an interactive toy that elicits a reaction when physical contact is made between a hook and a toy fish.

Regarding claim 10, Herman discloses an apparatus further comprising a means of providing audio information corresponding to the identification of the object in contact with the identification number (Col. 6, lines 20-23).

Regarding claim 11, Herman discloses an apparatus comprising a means of providing audio information includes computer-generated human speech (Col. 6, lines 42-56).

Regarding claim 12, Herman discloses an apparatus wherein audio information is provided upon movement of a toy object with respect to the identification member (Col. 6, lines 42-56).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Kitagawa et al. (USPN 5,334,022) disclose an object identifier based on an object's optical contact pattern.
- Law et al. (USPN 5,639,093) disclose a target casting practice method comprising the step of casting an object attached to a line at a receiving structure, wherein the receiving structure includes audio feedback.

• Art Unit: 3713

O'Brien (USPN 5,297,355) – discloses a fly-fishing practice device, wherein a
hook member and target create an electrical connection to provide visual
feedback.

Lurito (USPN 4,526,550) – discloses an educational matching game wherein an
object identifier identifies objects based on contact patterns.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cameron Saadat whose telephone number is 703-305-5490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Teresa J Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

CS

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700